Students want information to help navigate their academic experience and chart their paths after high school. But according to a national poll of high school students that my organization, the Data Quality Campaign (DQC), and the Kentucky Student Voice Team developed in 2022, they are not getting the information they need. State policymakers can help remove this source of students’ uncertainty about their futures.

The many uncertainties that students in particular experienced over the last three years are reflected in the large numbers
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of students who are chronically absent, missing from schools, or are otherwise disengaged.1 The decline in FAFSA applications also hints at how students’ outlook on the available paths after high school has changed.2

Better access to data will help students understand their college and career options, the steps they should be taking during the K-12 years to attain their goals, and their academic progress to date. This data access is essential for paving the way to recovery. State, district, and local education leaders must take actions to help students, their communities, and schools get the data they need.

Listening to Students

To field a national poll of public high school students’ perceptions of their postsecondary readiness and academic progress, DQC teamed up with the Kentucky Student Voice Team, a youth advocacy, research, and policy nonprofit organization.3 The students on the team identified important topics to investigate and potential questions, and they helped assess the results.

More than half of the students surveyed in the 2022 poll said the pandemic changed how they think about what they might do after school. Students also revealed that they are in the dark about their current learning and want more information to inform their postsecondary and workforce plans.

While 81 percent of students agreed that data about their learning and academic progress are important to staying on track after the pandemic, fewer than half reported getting information from their school about whether they are meeting grade-level expectations, are on track to graduate from high school, or about how much academic progress they had made during their current academic year. Worse, one in 10 students said they did not receive any information about their academic progress over the 2021–22 academic year.

High school students are particularly focused on what they need to do today to prepare for tomorrow. Just 35 percent of students reported that their school informed them of what postsecondary or career pathways are available to them, and the same low percentage of students reported that their school told them whether the courses they are taking are preparing them for higher education.

Understanding milestones and academic progress is especially important during transition points, whether it is a switch to remote instruction or from one school to another. Esha Bajwa, who helped develop our student poll as a member of the Kentucky Student Voice Team, reflected on her experience moving to a new state right before her senior year of high school:

I was brand new to Kentucky, and it was not always clearly communicated what the graduation requirements were because they’re different even from high school to high school. And I just remember, it was a couple weeks into my senior year, and they pulled me out of class to take a civics exam and I wasn’t told really anything. I was like, what test am I taking? And when I got there, they said, “You need to take this to graduate.” And I was just sitting there panicking because I was not told.4

Another member of the Kentucky Student Voice Team involved with the poll, Raima Dutt, reflected on the dearth of helpful information:

In terms of my own personal data on progress I’ve made, I’ve found that I barely have any. I mean, I have my report cards and my progress checks, which just have my grades every six or eight weeks. But that’s up to me and my family to interpret. No one’s really saying these are good grades, or hey, maybe you need to get this grade up so you can pass…. So I’ve never really received that data, and the only data that I feel like I’ve received that’s individualized is state testing. We get that data, but I feel like that’s not a very accurate representation because it’s one test, and it’s also the end of the year.5

Like their surveyed peers, Dutt and Bajwa also expressed a need for more information on their options after high school. While 73 percent of surveyed students felt that they were on track for success (e.g., ready for postsecondary education or a career), just 61 percent of students felt confident they knew all their options. A vast majority reported they would feel more confident about their future if they had better access to information.

Dutt confirmed that postsecondary options were uppermost on her mind as she reflected on the information she would love teachers or guidance counselors to provide:

Because we kind of have to figure out our career path on our own. And I wish there
To make access to usable data a reality, statewide longitudinal data systems must be reoriented.

Parents: 93 percent said that easier access to information would help them feel more confident about their ability to support their child’s post–high school decision making.9

To make access to usable data a reality, statewide longitudinal data systems must be reoriented to address three questions: Who needs access to data, when, and how? While states have been building cross-agency, longitudinal data systems for decades and have made notable progress, today’s state data systems are largely designed and used for system-level compliance and monitoring.10  The very design of these systems and the policies that govern them are not oriented toward getting individuals, the public, and policymakers timely answers to pressing questions that will inform decision making.

Reorienting statewide data systems toward access means that everyone—not just students—will have robust access to the information they need to answer their most pressing education and workforce questions and make decisions that support students. If states orient their data systems in this way, people will be able to accomplish the following:

- **Individuals** will be able to make informed decisions about their courses in high school, the postsecondary and workforce preparation they need, and the debt they may incur when they take on different pathways.

- **The broader community** will benefit from increased access, improved outcomes, and leaders focused on the best way to improve education and workforce pathways.

- **Leaders** will be able to make informed policy decisions and be held accountable for improving schools and postsecondary options.

While no state has a data system that enables different types of access that are specific to users’ needs, California completed a multiyear effort to design its Cradle-to-Career Data System, which will securely bring together data from across the early childhood, K-12, postsecondary, and workforce sectors to empower policymakers, advocates, and communities with actionable data to support students’ success. In many ways, California is still at the beginning of its efforts to build an effective, useful data system, but the state’s design process can provide an invaluable roadmap for leaders in other states.11
Tasks for State Boards of Education

Leaders must attend to the ways they share and seek information. Listening to and speaking with their communities is the best way to understand what information constituents need and how it can be made most useful to them. By sharing information about context, proximity, and framing of data, state and school leaders can build trust and ensure that communities will be able to understand and use the data.12

Context. Context helps students and others make sense of the numbers and how to act upon them. State officials ought to share as much contextual information as possible and make sure it is clearly presented and translated into the most commonly spoken languages in the state.

Proximity. People inherently trust and value data they collect themselves. Consumers’ trust in data diminishes as they move farther away from its source. Continuously providing avenues for stakeholder engagement and showing evidence of listening go a long way to building trust in data.

Framing. People want data framed in a way that maximizes their ability to be successful (e.g., focusing on their assets) and does not magnify the challenges (e.g., focusing on any deficits). State leaders should build an understanding of how communities perceive data about their students and be sensitive to how members of a community will receive information about them. They can open a dialogue to understand how data should be framed in the future.

State boards are the people’s voice in education. To best represent the people and ensure that their priorities are being addressed, people must be involved in decision making. But the student voice is often overlooked. Ensuring that leaders are focusing on incorporating the voices of students and other community members, it is important for state leaders to ask the following:

■ What are you doing to address their concerns? And how are you sharing that information with students and other community members?

Students need not feel disconnected from the information that will help them succeed in high school and beyond. Listening to what data students want and need will help state boards ensure that everyone—especially students—has what they need to be successful in and out of school.
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